

PROPOSED REVISIONS TO THE DMV
EXTERNAL DRIVER HISTORY TRANSCRIPT

by

Terrence McQuade
Graduate Legal Assistant

(The opinions, findings, and conclusions expressed in this
report are those of the author and not necessarily those of
the sponsoring agencies.)

Virginia Highway and Transportation Research Council
(A Cooperative Organization Sponsored Jointly by the Virginia
Department of Highways & Transportation and
the University of Virginia)

Charlottesville, Virginia

August 1985
VHTRC 86-R7

50'

SAFETY RESEARCH ADVISORY COMMITTEE

- W. E. DOUGLAS, Chairman, Director, Planning & Programs Development,
Division of Motor Vehicles
- V. M. BURGESS, Transportation Safety Administrator, Division of Motor
Vehicles
- C. P. HEITZLER, JR., Program Manager, Department of Information
Technology
- B. G. JOHNSON, Supervisor, Driver Education, Department of Education
- C. W. LYNN, Reseach Scientist, VH&TRC
- R. F. MCCARTY, Safety Program Coordinator, FHWA
- W. F. MCCORMICK, Assistant District Engineer, VDH&T
- R. M. MCDONALD, Project Director, Transportation Safety Training Center,
Virginia Commonwealth University
- C. M. ROBINSON, Field Supervisor, Department of State Police
- F. F. SMALL, Highway Engineering Program Supervisor, VDH&T
- J. A. SPENCER, Assistant Attorney General, Office of the Attorney
General
- E. W. TIMMONS, Director of Public Affairs, Tidewater AAA of Virginia,
Norfolk, Virginia

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS-----	v
ABSTRACT-----	vii
ORIGIN AND PURPOSE OF THE STUDY-----	1
METHODOLOGY-----	1
DISCUSSION-----	2
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS-----	4
SUMMARY OF CONCLUSIONS-----	5
RECOMMENDATIONS-----	6
APPENDICES	
APPENDIX A -- LIST OF INTERVIEW SUBJECTS-----	A-1
APPENDIX B -- SAMPLE DIVISION OF MOTOR VEHICLES EXTERNAL DRIVER HISTORY TRANSCRIPT-----	B-1
APPENDIX C -- PROPOSED EXTERNAL DRIVER HISTORY TRANSCRIPT FORMAT-----	C-1

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was conducted at the request of the Division of Motor Vehicles. The author gratefully acknowledges the aid of the individuals in the Division of Motor Vehicles who contributed to this project, particularly that of Dan Beau and Joe Burrell.

Special thanks go to those people in the private sector and those in state agencies other than the DMV who took the time and effort to participate. Especially noteworthy were Ed Layman and the State Police officers who participated.

Acknowledgement is made of the members of the Virginia Highway and Transportation Research Council who contributed to the project. Special thanks go to Bill Kelsh for his overall advice and assistance, Toni Thompson for typing the manuscript, Harry Craft for his editorial review, and the staff of the Report Section for the production of this report.

ABSTRACT

The Division of Motor Vehicles, Transportation Safety Administration (formerly Virginia Department of Transportation Safety) awarded a grant to the Virginia Highway and Transportation Research Council to investigate the problems experienced by those who utilize Virginia's driver history transcripts and to formulate a new physical format for the transcript which would solve such problems. Through a literature search and interviews, the Research Council identified numerous user complaints with the physical and informational format of the present transcript. In consultation with the Division of Motor Vehicles, the Council has proposed a new format which addresses many of the user problems relating to the layout of the transcript. This proposal should be viewed as a starting point for the implementation of an altered transcript format that will alleviate user complaints and reduce the time spent by Division of Motor Vehicles personnel explaining driver history transcripts to users who are unable to accurately decipher the information they contain.

507

PROPOSED REVISIONS TO THE DMV
EXTERNAL DRIVER HISTORY TRANSCRIPT

by

Terrence McQuade
Graduate Legal Assistant

ORIGIN AND PURPOSE OF THE STUDY

The Virginia Division of Motor Vehicles (DMV), having determined that its employees were spending an inordinate amount of time in court explaining and interpreting driver history transcripts (DHT) for the benefit of judges who were trying cases which involved the defendant's driving record, requested the Virginia Highway & Transportation Research Council to analyze and suggest revisions to the DHT format so that it could be easily understood by the judiciary as well as other important users (i.e., law enforcement agencies, insurance companies, private sector employers, and certain DMV operating departments).

With a grant from the DMV's Transportation Safety Administration (formerly the Virginia Department of Transportation Safety), the Research Council undertook the task.

METHODOLOGY

At the inception of the study a series of meetings were held with DMV representatives to gather information concerning the workings of the transcript production process. Sample transcripts from other states were also assembled and reviewed. With assistance from the DMV representative transcript users were identified and targeted for personal interviews. By category the list* included the following:

- 1) The Courts
- 2) Commonwealth's Attorneys Offices
- 3) Virginia Alcohol Safety Action Programs
- 4) Law Enforcement Agencies
- 5) DMV Operating Divisions
- 6) Insurance Companies
- 7) Motor Transport Companies

An information packet containing a cover letter and copies of Virginia DHTs and selected DHTs from other states was mailed to the

* See Appendix A for a complete list of the interviewees.

interview subjects for their review prior to the scheduled interviews. The interviewees were solicited for information on how they use the DHT and their views on how the DHT format could be improved.

User suggestions were combined with those from the DMV and Research Council staffs and synthesized along with ideas gleaned from other state DHT formats. A prototypical format for Virginia DHTs and a list of detailed recommendations were prepared and submitted to the DMV for review and comment.

DISCUSSION

The DMV compiles and retains all pertinent data relating to licensed drivers in Virginia. These data are disseminated to those parties which have need for such data in the form of the DHT (see representative DHTs, Appendix B). A given DHT is basically a list of entries, called "trailers," which summarize data related to a certain aspect of an individual's driving record (i.e., license status, traffic convictions, medical restrictions, etc.) A DHT may consist of many different types of trailers and may consist of many of each type of trailer. Approximately 2.6 million transcripts are prepared by the DMV annually. (See Table 1.)

Table 1

External Driver History Transcripts Issued By The DMV
By Type - Fiscal Year 1984

<u>Transcript Type</u>	<u>Number</u>
Law Enforcement	693,818
On-line	928,735
Tape	683,703
Prepunch Tape	339
Branch Office	164,053
All Other Commercial (Incl. Employment)	<u>186,966</u>
Total	2,657,614

There are several different DHTs according to the manner in which they are prepared and the type of user who has made the request. Different levels of information are given to each type of user. Thus, a DHT requested by an insurance company is not as informationally complete

500

in terms of number of years digested or depth of information presented as a similar DHT requested by the judiciary or police. Many of these restrictions are statutorily required. See §46.1-31 Va. Code.

The DMV found that it was experiencing a substantial personnel drain due to the fact that members of the judiciary required assistance in interpreting the DHT. Often this situation resulted in the need for a DMV employee to be present in court to explain the DHT. In an effort to ameliorate this problem and make the DHT, as a whole, more understandable, the DMV participated with the Research Council in formulating a transcript layout which could be easily understood by all users.

The initial goal of this project was to devise a transcript format which would be understandable to the broadest range of potential users. This goal was to be achieved within the following parameters: (1) the informational content of the transcript was to remain essentially the same, since much of it is either statutorily required or prohibited, but the physical format of the DHT could be altered in any way necessary, and (2) potential computer programming difficulties were to be ignored, as DMV was in the process of revamping its driver history system and could accommodate such required changes.

After conducting an analysis of the DHT via user interviews and the synthesis of the best ideas from other state DHT formats, a number of detailed recommendations were formulated and presented to the DMV project review committee for consideration (see Recommendations and the sample transcript format, Appendix C). It was subsequently decided that although a format change would be helpful in alleviating user confusion surrounding the DHT, it alone was probably an insufficient step. Since the user interviews revealed more problems with the DHT than its indecipherability, it was decided that a more comprehensive study of the DHT should be conducted so that all aspects of the problem could be addressed simultaneously. The results of the research conducted so far have proved useful in delineating some issues which should receive special emphasis in any future study of the DHT. The remainder of this report addresses these points.

First, it is believed that a larger population of users in all categories should be contacted and involved in any informational or physical restructuring of the DHT. The author was uncomfortable with the relatively small number of interviews initially recommended by the DMV at the project's inception. Eventually, DMV personnel came to feel the same way.

Second, the judiciary and law enforcement agencies are, by far, the largest and most important users of the DHT. However, the number of personal interviews conducted with representatives of these two groups was significantly smaller than their importance to the project dictated.

510

The law enforcement agencies were very helpful and eager to participate; however, the Research Council found it very difficult to arrange personal interviews with members of the judiciary due to their busy schedules.

Third, with the further expansion of computerization of driver records in motor vehicle departments nationwide there will come a need for national or regional standardization of DHTs. This idea was only briefly explored and not given the attention it deserved.

Fourth, although all users were interested in the physical reformatting of the DHT, they were often more concerned with its informational content. Thus, during the personal interviews many information related problems were identified but since they did not directly affect the physical re-formatting of the DHT they were, in general, not addressed in this research in a meaningful way.

Many users expressed a desire to be involved in the process of reformulating the DHT but were skeptical of the DMV's ability to deliver what was needed. Many expressed the opinion that the DMV was attempting too much and that only user disappointment would follow.

It is the author's opinion that the proposed DHT format can be used as a beginning to effect the implementation of a transcript that will be easily understood by all users. The DHT is an important information source for citizens of the Commonwealth of Virginia as well as governmental agencies, and as such the implementation of a newly formatted DHT, one capable of solving the problems identified in this report, should be pursued and achieved with all due speed.

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

1. The DMV maintains a Driver History File containing pertinent data for drivers licensed to operate a motor vehicle in the Commonwealth of Virginia.
2. The DMV maintains an automated system for preparing an abstract of a particular driver's record based on the data contained in the Driver History File. This abstract, known as the driver history transcript, summarizes an individual's license status, traffic convictions, and accident history, among other things.
3. The DMV disseminates approximately 2.6 million DHTs per year.
4. There currently are 37 different DHT formats. Each is distinguished by the method by which it is retrieved (on-line or batch) and by the category of user originating the DHT request.

- 051
5. Such categories of users include but are not limited to
 - A. The courts
 - B. Commonwealth's attorneys
 - C. Law enforcement agencies
 - D. Employers
 - E. Insurance companies
 - F. Government agencies
 - G. Authorized private citizens
 6. Users view the DHT as confusing due to the many abbreviations used, the manner in which the information is presented, and certain informational errors and/or omissions.
 7. The DMV experiences a drain on personnel resources as well as a loss of public confidence due to the confusion caused by the present DHT.
 8. The present DHT could be significantly improved by anglicizing all abbreviations and "terms of art," by presenting the information in a more logical and coherent sequence, and by ensuring that the information contained in the DHT is complete and correct.

SUMMARY OF CONCLUSIONS

1. Virginia's DHT is virtually indecipherable to the inexperienced user because of its heavy usage of coded entries, partial and abbreviated text, and illogical sequence of trailer entries. Even some experienced users have difficulties interpreting the DHT.
2. While it might be of some help, a format change alone would be insufficient to relieve user problems with the DHT.
3. An expanded analysis of user needs for the DHT needs to be undertaken to address all issues surrounding the legibility and the applicability of the data it contains.
4. Any such expanded study of the DHT needs to consider the following:
 - a. increasing the size of the user population interviewed,
 - b. placing special emphasis on the needs of the judicial system,
 - c. paying increased attention to the informational content of the DHT, and

- 510
- d. exploring the possibilities for standardization of the DHT format and content nationally and/or regionally.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Although all of the following suggestions and recommendations have been discussed with DMV personnel or incorporated in the proposed DHT format (see Appendix C), it is worthwhile to briefly list them in the body of this report. The list is divided into two categories:

1. Suggested changes to the DHT's physical layout,
2. Suggested changes to the DHT's informational content.

There is considerable overlap among categories as it is impossible to exclusively classify many of the suggestions. Recommendations in the second category are technically beyond the scope of the study. They are included nonetheless since they may prove useful in any future analysis and redesign of the DHT.

Suggested Changes to the DHT Physical Layout

1. Abbreviations should be spelled out. For example, District is now abbreviated as "DIS." This may be confusing to first-time or infrequent users.
2. Punctuation and bold type should be used to clarify trailer information. For example, one trailer now reads "TERM INDEFINITE CT. ORDER FAIL TO PAY FINE". It would be much easier to read and understand if the trailer read "TERM: indefinite. REASON: Failure to pay court ordered fine".
3. Trailers should be separated by use of stars or spaces. The DHT as presently formatted leaves one blank line between printed lines whether or not such line is within a trailer or separates trailers. If information within a trailer were single spaced, then a double space or a line of stars used between trailers would aid immediate reader identification of distinct trailers.
4. Dividing DHT information into three categories would be very helpful. One proposed breakdown is:
(1) CONVICTIONS; (2) DIVISION OF MOTOR VEHICLES ACTION; and
(3) ACCIDENT HISTORY. It was suggested that the convictions category be further broken down into those stemming from moving versus non-moving violations.

5. Within each category trailers should be ordered chronologically, with the most recent event being placed at the top of the list. A great majority of those interviewed believed that this would be a more logical presentation of DHT data than that presently used.
6. Instead of a full-page certification, a short paragraph at the end of the DHT should be sufficient. Moreover, it was suggested that every DHT be certified.
7. A reformatting and updating of the license status trailer is needed to rid the transcript of redundancy and make it more informationally complete. If a license has been revoked or suspended, the license status trailer should somehow be linked to the related conviction or suspension trailer so that the user will know why the license was suspended or revoked.
8. The driver improvement point summary does not seem to be important to most users. Some users have stated that this summary causes confusion because citizens equate driver improvement points with the points insurance companies use for determining premiums. However, some users see them as an initial indicator of the driving record of the individual. Possibly this information could be masked on most DHTs except for those in the driver improvement and employment transcript categories.
9. The case number in the accident trailer does not seem to be informationally worthwhile and should be deleted. It always shows "7777" and seems to impart no needed information to the user.
10. All license issue trailers should be presented in one block. Alternatively, only the original license issue date and the latest issuance and expiration dates should be listed.
11. All statutory references should be replaced with narrative descriptions.
12. The DHT header information should be made more readable and informationally correct. For example, if a citizen requests a transcript for employment purposes the header shows that it was requested by an employer. This is incorrect and could confuse the citizen.

Suggested Changes to the Informational Content of the DHT

1. Make certain that the license status trailer coincides with related information in other trailers. For example, if a person's license has been suspended for failure to pay a fine and the person then has paid the fine, the license status trailer (in the transcript heading) may show that the person can drive legally but if the license suspension trailer within the body of the DHT is not updated it still shows the driver as being suspended.
2. The transcript should show a DUI charge that has been reduced because of participation in an Alcohol Safety Action Program (ASAP). This would allow both the ASAP administrators and judges to make informed decisions as to subsequent treatment or punishment of the offender.
3. If the DMV certifies a person to be an habitual offender, this should be shown on the DHT even if it has not been adjudicated by a court. Should such a determination be made in court, this trailer could then be updated to show in which court and on what date it was so adjudicated. The transcript should also show which commonwealth's attorney is responsible for prosecuting the person as an habitual offender.
4. Status and issuance information pertaining to any foreign license held by the driver should be included in the DHT.
5. Citation trailers for all out-of-state traffic arrests should reflect any subsequent convictions.
6. Any license restrictions should be printed out in narrative form rather than in an abbreviated or encoded form.
7. The DHT should be altered to show if the offender's license was physically received by the court or the DMV upon suspension or revocation.
8. Sex of driver should be shown on all DHTs.
9. All "order mailed" trailers should be omitted. This information is needed only if an order was actually received by the offender or action was taken which is statutorily sufficient for the court to take judicial notice that the order was received.

- 515
10. The generalized medical trailer should show on the ASAP transcript.
 11. The full medical trailer should be issued on all law enforcement transcripts.
 12. The existing DHT should show if license is restricted by ASAP or if the driver has been issued a temporary license. This information may be helpful to judges and law enforcement officers.
 13. The DHT should display the vehicle registration number in each conviction trailer, especially when the conviction was for speeding. This would aid in identifying offenders who continually use speedometer calibration deficiencies as a defense.
 14. A more explanatory narrative should be used for the trailer element "conviction represents no statutory representation." A question arose as to whether this conviction is counted as a moving violation.
 15. A license "suspension pending" trailer element should be used during the period of time allowed for the offender to pay a fine. If the fine is not paid within the allotted time, a suspension trailer could then be automatically printed out to replace the suspension pending trailer.
 16. A hazardous material violation trailer element should be added to the DHT. This option does not presently exist.
 17. The driver improvement trailer should be included in the law enforcement DHT and it should provide full driver improvement data.
 18. If the offender is currently enrolled in an ASAP, this information should be shown on the MVR.
 19. The trailer element "control ends" should be explained or deleted.
 20. If the sentence given the offender is a cumulative one e.g., a long period of license revocation because it is the third reckless driving conviction, it would be helpful if this information was shown on the MVR.

APPENDIX A
LIST OF INTERVIEW SUBJECTS

APPENDIX A

By category, Virginia Highway & Transportation Research Council interviewed the following people:

1. Judiciary
 - a. Judge Stephen H. Helvin of Charlottesville
 - b. L. Dennis Collins, Assistant Commonwealth's Attorney of Greenville Co.
 - c. Brief telephone interviews were conducted with
 - i. Judge J. R. Zepkin of Williamsburg, and
 - ii. Judge Henry J. Schreiber of Richmond.
2. VASAP
 - a. Peter J. Larkin (Arlington ASAP).
3. Law enforcement;
 - a. Trooper S. W. Talbert (State Police);
 - b. Trooper D. A. Bradner (State Police);
 - c. Trooper R. C. Bryam (State Police);
 - d. Otis L. Haggard of the Charlottesville Police Dept.
4. DMV personnel
 - a. Kenneth Cliborne; Central Branch Office
 - b. David C. Wheeler; Employee Relations Office
 - c. C. H. Bradberry; Driver Improvement Department
5. Insurance company;
 - a. Abraham Hawkins (State Farm Insurance Co.).
 - b. Richard Freeman (Equifax)
6. The trucking industry;
 - a. Edgar P. Layman, Jr. (Wilson Trucking).

APPENDIX B

SAMPLE DIVISION OF MOTOR VEHICLES
EXTERNAL DRIVER HISTORY TRANSCRIPT

500

UR

*** DIVISION OF MOTOR VEHICLES, VIRGINIA ***

TRANSCRIPT OF RECORD

DATE 03/07/83 REQUESTED BY: UNRESTRICTED PAGE 1 OF 9
RC NO. A11335-29476-315011 DEMERITS 0
OL NO. NOT LICENSED SAFE DRIVING PTS 0
CL NO. 577-72-7860 BALANCE 0
BIRTH DATE 07/30/44 SEX M
916 IVERSON ST WGT 150 HGT 5-09 EYES BK HAIR BK
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22304 ALEXANDRIA
OPERATORS LIC STATUS - REVOKED -FR REQUIRED
CHAUFFEURS LIC STATUS - REVOKED -FR REQUIRED
LIC ISSUE 07/09/75 EXP 07/31/76 CL CLASS NONE RESTR 0
NO. 577-72-7860
SUSPENSION 08/20/75 TERM INDEFINITE CT ORDER FAIL TO PAY FINE
CONVICTION 08/20/75 TRA CT ARLNGTN CO
ORDER MAILED
CONVICTION 08/20/75 OFF 07/08/75 TRA CT ARLNGTN CO
NO OPERATOR'S LICENSE
SUSPENSION 08/20/75 TERM INDEFINITE CT ORDER FAIL TO PAY FINE
CONVICTION 08/20/75 TRA CT ARLNGTN CO
ORDER MAILED

*** DIVISION OF MOTOR VEHICLES, VIRGINIA ***

TRANSCRIPT OF RECORD

DATE 03/07/83 REQUESTED BY: UNRESTRICTED PAGE 2 OF

RC NO. A11335-29476-315011

CONVICTION 08/20/75 OFF 07/08/75 TRA CT ARLNGTN CO

CONVICTION REPRESENTS NO STATUTORY DEFINITION

SUSPENSION 08/20/75 TERM INDEFINITE CT ORDER FAIL TO PAY FINE

CONVICTION 08/20/75 TRA CT ARLNGTN CO

ORDER MAILED

CONVICTION 08/20/75 OFF 07/08/75 TRA CT ARLNGTN CO

OPERATING FOR HIRE WITHOUT NAME ON VEHICLE

SUSPENSION 08/20/75 TERM INDEFINITE CT ORDER FAIL TO PAY FINE

CONVICTION 08/20/75 TRA CT ARLNGTN CO

ORDER MAILED

CONVICTION 08/20/75 OFF 07/08/75 TRA CT ARLNGTN CO

IMPROPER REGISTRATION OR LICENSE PLATES

SUSPENSION 10/06/75 TERM INDEFINITE CT ORDER FAIL TO PAY FINE

CONVICTION 10/06/75 TRA CT ARLNGTN CO

ORDER MAILED - RETURNED UNDELIVERED

CONVICTION 10/06/75 OFF 09/15/75 TRA CT ARLNGTN CO

IMPROPER DRIVERS LICENSE

*** DIVISION OF MOTOR VEHICLES, VIRGINIA ***

TRANSCRIPT OF RECORD

03/07/83

REQUESTED BY: UNRESTRICTED

PAGE 3 OF 9

NO. A11335-29476-315011

CONVICTION 10/06/75 OFF 09/15/75 TRA CT ARLNGTN CO

OPERATING FOR HIRE WITHOUT NAME ON VEHICLE

PENSION 10/23/75 TERM INDEFINITE CT ORDER FAIL TO PAY FINE

CONVICTION 10/23/75 TRA CT ARLNGTN CO

ORDER MAILED - RETURNED UNDELIVERED

CONVICTION 10/23/75 OFF 09/01/75 TRA CT ARLNGTN CO

SPEEDING 10-19 MPH ABOVE SPEED LIMIT

PENSION 12/22/75 TERM INDEFINITE CT ORDER FAIL TO PAY FINE

CONVICTION 12/22/75 TRA CT ARLNGTN CO

ORDER MAILED - RETURNED UNDELIVERED

CONVICTION 12/22/75 OFF 11/12/75 TRA CT ARLNGTN CO

CONVICTION REPRESENTS NO STATUTORY DEFINITION

PENSION 01/21/76 TERM INDEFINITE CT ORDER FAIL TO PAY FINE

CONVICTION 01/21/76 DIS CT ALEXANDRIA

ORDER MAILED

CONVICTION 01/21/76 OFF 01/09/76 DIS CT ALEXANDRIA

CONVICTION REPRESENTS NO STATUTORY DEFINITION

*** DIVISION OF MOTOR VEHICLES, VIRGINIA ***

TRANSCRIPT OF RECORD

DATE 03/07/83 REQUESTED BY: UNRESTRICTED PAGE 4 OF

RC NO. A11335-29476-315011

SUSPENSION 06/29/76 EFF 07/14/76 FAIL APPEAR/PER INTERVIEW
ORDER MAILED - RETURNED UNDELIVERED

SUSPENSION 10/06/76 TERM INDEFINITE CT ORDER FAIL TO PAY FINE
CONVICTION 10/06/75 TRA CT ARLNGTN CO
ORDER MAILED - RETURNED UNDELIVERED

SUSPENSION 12/30/76 TERM INDEFINITE CT ORDER FAIL TO PAY FINE
CONVICTION 12/30/76 TRA CT ARLNGTN CO
ORDER MAILED - RETURNED UNDELIVERED

CONVICTION 12/30/76 OFF 12/09/76 TRA CT ARLNGTN CO
OPERATE UNINSPECTED VEHICLE

CITATION 01/03/77 MARYLAND

CITATION 01/03/77 MARYLAND

SUSPENSION 03/15/77 TERM INDEFINITE CT ORDER FAIL TO PAY FINE
CONVICTION 03/15/77 DIS CT ALEXANDRIA
ORDER MAILED - RETURNED UNDELIVERED

CONVICTION 03/15/77 OFF 03/01/77 DIS CT ALEXANDRIA
FAIL TO OBEY HIGHWAY SIGN

*** DIVISION OF MOTOR VEHICLES, VIRGINIA ***

TRANSCRIPT OF RECORD

DATE 03/07/83

REQUESTED BY: UNRESTRICTED

PAGE 5 OF 9

FILE NO. A11335-29476-315011

SUSPENSION 03/15/77 TERM INDEFINITE CT ORDER FAIL TO PAY FINE

CONVICTION 03/15/77 DIS CT ALEXANDRIA

ORDER MAILED - RETURNED UNDELIVERED

CONVICTION 03/15/77 OFF 03/01/77 DIS CT ALEXANDRIA

EXPIRED LICENSE

SUSPENSION 03/22/77 TERM INDEFINITE CT ORDER FAIL TO PAY FINE

CONVICTION 03/22/77 DIS CT FAIRFAX CO

ORDER MAILED - RETURNED UNDELIVERED

CONVICTION 03/22/77 OFF 12/12/76 DIS CT FAIRFAX CO

DRIVING UNDER REVOCATION OR SUSPENSION

SUSPENSION 04/19/77 TERM INDEFINITE CT ORDER FAIL TO PAY FINE

CONVICTION 04/19/77 DIS CT FAIRFAX CO

ORDER MAILED - RETURNED UNDELIVERED

CONVICTION 04/19/77 OFF 03/04/77 DIS CT FAIRFAX CO

FAIL TO ANSWER SUMMONS

SUSPENSION 07/19/77 EFF 08/18/77 FAIL ANS. CITATION-EX-VA.

ORDER MAILED - RETURNED UNDELIVERED

*** DIVISION OF MOTOR VEHICLES, VIRGINIA ***

TRANSCRIPT OF RECORD

DATE 03/07/83 REQUESTED BY: UNRESTRICTED PAGE 6 OF

RC NO. A11335-29476-315011

SUSPENSION 07/19/77 EFF 08/18/77 FAIL ANS. CITATION-EX-VA.
ORDER MAILED - RETURNED UNDELIVERED

SUSPENSION 06/08/78 TERM INDEFINITE CT ORDER FAIL TO PAY FINE
CONVICTION 06/08/78 DIS CT ALEXANDRIA
ORDER MAILED

CONVICTION 06/08/78 OFF 05/18/78 DIS CT ALEXANDRIA
NO COUNTY OR CITY TAG

SUSPENSION 06/08/78 TERM INDEFINITE CT ORDER FAIL TO PAY FINE
CONVICTION 06/08/78 DIS CT ALEXANDRIA
ORDER MAILED

CONVICTION 06/08/78 OFF 05/18/78 DIS CT ALEXANDRIA
IMPROPERLY MOUNTED LICENSE PLATES

SUSPENSION 06/08/78 TERM INDEFINITE CT ORDER FAIL TO PAY FINE
CONVICTION 06/08/78 DIS CT ALEXANDRIA
ORDER MAILED

CONVICTION 06/08/78 OFF 05/18/78 DIS CT ALEXANDRIA
OPERATE UNINSPECTED VEHICLE

*** DIVISION OF MOTOR VEHICLES, VIRGINIA ***

TRANSCRIPT OF RECORD

DATE 03/07/83 REQUESTED BY: UNRESTRICTED PAGE 7 OF 9

DC NO. A11335-29476-315011

SUSPENSION 06/08/78 TERM INDEFINITE CT ORDER FAIL TO PAY FINE

CONVICTION 06/08/78 DIS CT ALEXANDRIA

ORDER MAILED

CONVICTION 06/08/78 OFF 05/18/78 DIS CT ALEXANDRIA

NO OPERATOR'S LICENSE

FOREIGN LIC LIC NO 577727860 DC

SUSPENSION 09/12/78 TERM INDEFINITE CT ORDER FAIL TO PAY FINE

CONVICTION 09/12/78 DIS CT FAIRFAX CO

ORDER MAILED

CONVICTION 09/12/78 OFF 06/08/78 DIS CT FAIRFAX CO

INSUFFICIENT TREAD ON TIRES

SUSPENSION 09/12/78 TERM INDEFINITE CT ORDER FAIL TO PAY FINE

CONVICTION 09/12/78 DIS CT FAIRFAX CO

ORDER MAILED

CONVICTION 09/12/78 OFF 06/08/78 DIS CT FAIRFAX CO

NO OPERATOR'S LICENSE

ACCIDENT 02/02/79 CASE 777777G PD DR-OWN FAIRFAX CO

*** DIVISION OF MOTOR VEHICLES, VIRGINIA ***

TRANSCRIPT OF RECORD

DATE 03/07/83 REQUESTED BY: UNRESTRICTED PAGE 8 OF

RC NO. A11335-29476-315011

SUSPENSION 04/19/79 TERM INDEFINITE CT ORDER FAIL TO PAY FINE

CONVICTION 04/19/79 DIS CT FAIRFAX CO

ORDER MAILED

CONVICTION 04/19/79 OFF 02/02/79 DIS CT FAIRFAX CO

DRIVING UNDER REVOCATION OR SUSPENSION

SUSPENSION 05/24/79 TERM 05/24/80 COURT ORDER

CONVICTION 05/24/79 CIR CT FAIRFAX CO CONTL ENDS 05/24/80

ORDER MAILED

CONVICTION 05/24/79 OFF 02/02/79 CIR CT FAIRFAX CO SUSP 12 MONTHS

RECKLESS DRIVING

JUDGEMENT 08/09/79 DIS CT ALEXANDRIA

SUSPENSION 10/19/79 EFF 10/19/79 UNSATISFIED JUDGMENT

ORDER MAILED - RETURNED UNDELIVERED

CROSS-REF 10/31/79 AKINMURELE, BANKLEAN 07/30/41 A11335-29476-165010

ACCIDENT 07/28/82 CASE 777777G PD DR-OWN ALEXANDRIA

CONVICTION 08/30/82 OFF 07/28/82 DIS CT ALEXANDRIA SUSP 6 MONTHS

DRIVING WHILE INTOXICATED

*** DIVISION OF MOTOR VEHICLES, VIRGINIA ***

TRANSCRIPT OF RECORD

DATE 03/07/83

REQUESTED BY: UNRESTRICTED

PAGE 9 OF 9

CD NO. A11335-29476-315011

REVOCATION 10/26/82 TERM 02/28/83 DRIVING WHILE INTOXICATED

ORDER MAILED

CONTL ENDS 02/28/86

SUSPENSION 11/22/82 TERM 02/28/83 COURT ORDER

11/22/82 TERM INDEFINITE FAIL TO PAY FINE DMV ORDER

CONVICTION 08/30/82 DIS CT ALEXANDRIA

ORDER MAILED

SUSPENSION 01/13/83 EFF 01/28/83 NO SR 21 RECD 46.1-167.4

ORDER MAILED

ACC DATE 07/28/82 ACC JURISD ALEXANDRIA

5/20

APPENDIX C

PROPOSED EXTERNAL DRIVER HISTORY TRANSCRIPT FORMAT

*** VIRGINIA DIVISION OF MOTOR VEHICLES ***

TRANSCRIPT OF:

REQUESTED BY: LAW ENFORCEMENT

COPIES TO: ASAP, COMMONWEALTH ATTORNEY

DATE OF REQUEST: 12/05/83

916 IVERSON ST.
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22304

DATE OF BIRTH: 07/30/44
WGT: 150 HGT: 5-09 SEX: M
EYES: BLACK HAIR: BLACK

OPERATOR'S LICENSE: NONE
STATUS:

EXPIRATION DATE:

CHAUFFEUR'S LICENSE: #577-445-9023

EXPIRATION DATE:

STATUS: REVOKED DUE TO CONVICTION #2
FINANCIAL RESPONSIBILITY REQUIRED

FOREIGN LICENSE: #577-445-9023 STATE OF ISSUE: WASHINGTON D.C.
STATUS: UNKNOWN

LICENSE RESTRICTIONS: (ASAP, PHYSICAL RESTRICTIONS, ETC.)

HABITUAL OFFENDER STATUS:

COURT CONVICTIONS

- 1. CONVICTION: DRIVING WITHOUT OPERATORS LICENSE DATE: 7-20-75
 JURISDICTION: TRAFFIC COURT, ARLINGTON CO. STATE: VA
 SUBSEQUENT ACTION: LICENSE SUSPENDED BY COURT DATE:
 REASON: FAIL TO PAY FINE
 TERM: INDEFINITE
 NOTICE STATUS: MAILED 08/21/75
 SERVICE STATUS:
 LICENSE RECEIVED: (OPTIONAL)
 LICENSE REINSTATED: (OPTIONAL)

2. CONVICTION: DATE:
 JURISDICTION
 SUBSEQUENT ACTION: DATE:
 REASON:
 TERM:
 NOTICE STATUS:
 SERVICE STATUS:
 LICENSE RECEIVED:
 LICENSE REINSTATED:

 DIVISION OF MOTOR VEHICLES ACTION

ACTION TYPE: DATE:
 REASON:
 TERM:
 NOTICE STATUS:
 SERVICE STATUS:
 LICENSE RECEIVED:
 LICENSE REINSTATED:

 ACCIDENTS

1. ACCIDENT DATE: CASE #:
 SEVERITY:
 VEHICLE OWNER:
 JURISDICTION:
 RESULTING CONVICTIONS: SEE CONVICTIONS # 1,2,3 AND -

 SUMMARY REPORT

	<u>COURT CONVICTIONS</u>		<u>DMV ACTION</u>			<u>ACCIDENTS</u>
	MAJOR	MINOR	SUSP'S	REV'S	OTHER	
PAST YR						
PAST 3 YRS						
PAST 5 YRS						
TOTAL						

